

Review of basic ideas of rep. theory:

Regular representation:  $G \times G$

$$(g_1, g_2) \mapsto L(g_1)R(g_2^{-1})$$

$$(g_1, g_2)x = g_1 x g_2^{-1} \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} g_i \in G \\ x \in R_G \end{array} \right)$$

now consider  $L \& R : G \rightarrow GL(R_G)$

→ restrict to subgroups  $G \times \{1\}$  or  $\{1\} \times G$ .

$$LRR: L(g) \cdot x = gx$$

$$RRR: R(g)x = xg^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) \cdot x &= L(h) \cdot \sum_g x(g) \cdot g = \sum_g x(g)(hg) = \sum_g x(h^{-1}g) \cdot g \\ &\equiv \sum_g [L(h) \cdot x](g) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

( View  $x$  also as functions on  $G$ ,  $x: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g \mapsto x(g)$  )

$$\Rightarrow [L(h) \cdot x](g) = x(h^{-1}g)$$

$$([R(h) \cdot x](g) = x(g \cdot h))$$

Define inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_G \overline{x(g)} y(g) dg$$

$$\stackrel{\text{finite}}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \overline{x(g)} y(g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L(h)x, L(h)y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{unitary reps}$$

We will use  $L(h), h, \delta_h$  etc. interchangeably

$$\underline{h} = \sum_g h(g) \cdot g = 1 \cdot h \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g=h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

||  
(recover  $\delta_h$  from before)

$$\underline{\delta_h \cdot \delta_g} = \sum_k \left( \sum_l \delta_h(l) \delta_g(l^{-1} \cdot k) \right) \cdot k = 1 \cdot (hg) = \underline{\delta_{hg}}$$

$l=h$   
 $l^{-1} \cdot k = g \quad k = hg$

see  $h$  as left action:  $\underline{L(h) \delta_g(g')} = \delta_g(h^{-1} \cdot g') = \delta_{hg}(g')$

$$\underline{L(h) \delta_g = \delta_{hg}}$$

group elements can be viewed both as operators and vectors on  $\mathbb{R}_G$

Also, expand the class function on  $\mathbb{R}_G$ :

$$\delta_{C_i}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{\delta_{C_i}} = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_{C_i}(g) \cdot g = \sum_{g \in C_i} \underline{\underline{g}}$$

(or view as class operators  $C_i$ )

$$\forall h \in G: \quad h C_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in C_i} h g h^{-1} = \sum_{g' \in C_i} g' = C_i \quad \underline{\underline{C_i \text{ commutes with } \forall h \in G}}$$

## 8.13.2. Projectors onto invariant subspaces

$$V = \bigoplus_i W^i \quad \swarrow \text{invariant subspace.}$$

Suppose.  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$

Define projector  $P$  onto  $W$ .

$$\forall x \in V. \quad x = w + w^\perp \quad w \in \underline{W}, \quad w^\perp \in W^\perp$$

then  $\underline{Px = w} \quad \forall w \in W$

$$\forall g \in G: \quad g(Px) = gw = \underbrace{P(gw)}_{P(gw)=0} = P g (w + w^\perp) = P g x$$

$\Rightarrow \underline{gP = Pg} \quad \underline{P \text{ also commutes with } \forall g \in G.}$

$$\forall x \in R_G: \quad Px = \sum_g \chi(g) \cdot Pg = \sum_g \underbrace{\chi(g)}_x Pg = x Pe$$

Define  $e' = Pe: e'^2 = PePe = P^2e = Pe = e'$  idempotent  
幂等元

then the invariant subspace is defined as

$$W = \{ \alpha e' : \alpha \in R_G \} =: R_G \cdot e'$$

$$\{ Px : \forall x \in R_G \}$$

$$\text{If } P_1 + P_2 = 1 \Rightarrow e = 1e = (P_1 + P_2)e = e_1 + e_2$$

$$P_1 P_2 = 0 \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 = 0$$

irreps:  $e'$  is primitive, can not be decomposed  
into  $e_i + e_j$  ( $e_i \neq 0, e_j \neq 0$ )

Both  $C_i$  and  $P$  commutes with  $\forall g \in G$ . is it possible to find

$P$ 's onto irreps using  $C_i$ ?

## 8.13.3 Construction of character table

We've seen a few character tables for simple groups.

But how do we construct the character tables?

We present an algorithm to obtain them.

If we can find all the projectors onto irreps, or equivalently all the idempotents.

Some ideas:

Recall previously, a Hamiltonian  $H$  is an intertwiner.  $[H, T(g)] = 0$

The eigenvectors  $\{\psi_\mu\}$  span an invariant subspace  $W$  of the representation space  $L^2(G)$ :

$$H\psi_\mu = E_\mu\psi_\mu$$

$$H \underline{T(g)\psi_\mu} = T(g)H\psi_\mu = E_\mu \underline{T(g)\psi_\mu} \quad \forall g \in G$$

$T(g)\psi_\mu \in W, (\forall g \in G) \Rightarrow W$  is an invariant subspace, i.e. a representation space

$$V \cong \oplus W^\mu$$

If  $W^\mu$  is still reducible, find another

operator that satisfies  $[O, T(g)] = 0 \quad (\forall g \in G)$

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSCO), we can achieve a complete reduction of representations / find all irreps:

This is an idea explored systematically by 陈金全 (南大).

① 陈金全. 《群表示论的新途径》

② English translation: Group representation theory for physicists. 2nd. Ed. World Scientific, 2002.

③ The representation group and its application to space groups

RMP 57, 211 (1985)

First RMP of PRC.

To illustrate the idea, consider a finite group  $G$ .

with  $r$  conjugacy classes  $[C_i]$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ )

$|[C_i]| = m_i$ . Correspondingly,  $r$  irreps  $V^\mu$  and characters  $\chi_\mu$

What operator commutes with all elements of  $\mathbb{R}_G$

The center of the group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_G]$

is spanned by the class operators / functions

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{R}_G): \quad c_i = \sum_{g \in C_i} g$$

$$x g x^{-1} = g$$

They have the following properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \forall h \in G. [c_i, h] = 0 : h c_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in C_i} h g h^{-1} = c_i$$

$$\textcircled{2} \forall i, j. [c_i, c_j] = 0 : \text{because of } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ closed/complete: } c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k, (C_{ij}^k = C_{ji}^k \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ where}$$

$C_{ij}^k$  the class multiplication coefficient, something we can easily compute given a group.

Proof:  $\forall h_{i1}, h_{i2} \in C_i. \exists g' \in G, \text{ s.t. } h_{i1} = g' h_{i2} g'^{-1}$

$$\sum_{g \in G} g h_{i1} g^{-1} = \sum_g g (g' h_{i2} g'^{-1}) g^{-1} = \sum_g g h_{i2} g^{-1}$$

$$m_i = |C_i| \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g c_i g^{-1} = m_i \sum_{g \in G} g g_{ia} g^{-1},$$

$$g_{ia} \in C_i$$

$$\because \textcircled{1}, \text{ LHS} = |G| \cdot c_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g g_{ia} g^{-1} = \frac{|G|}{m_i} c_i$$

S-o theorem / class eq.

$$|C_i| = \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(g)|}$$

one element on LHS. then full class on RHS

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow c_i c_j = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g (c_i c_j) g^{-1}$$

Any  $g \in c_i c_j$ , belongs to some  $C_k$ , then RHS contains full  $C_k$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k} \quad (*)$$

( Should they be enough for finding all irreps of a group? Some arguments: we've mentioned before that  $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$  is a complete basis for  $L^2(G)$  class, so is  $\{\chi_\mu\}$ . )

If we can diagonalize some/all  $C_i$ 's, and decompose them into projectors / find idempotents.

From an algebraic point of view, Ex. (\*) provided us with a set of eigen problems.

$$\hat{C}_i \delta_{C_j} = \sum_{k=1}^r [C^i]_{jk} \delta_{C_k}$$

With  $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$  an orthogonal basis: of class algebra

(recall inner product  $\langle \delta_{C_j}, \delta_{C_k} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \delta_{C_j}(g) \delta_{C_k}(g) = \frac{m_j}{|G|} \delta_{jk}$ )

Suppose for  $\hat{C}_i$  we find its eigenvectors  $\{\phi^\mu\}$

$$\hat{C}_i \phi^\mu = \lambda_i^\mu \phi^\mu$$

$$\lambda^\mu = \lambda^\nu, \text{ or } \phi^\mu \phi^\nu = 0$$

then  $\hat{C}_i(\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda_i^\mu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda_i^\nu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu)$ , i.e.  $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu$  is also

an eigen vector associated to  $\lambda_i^\mu$ . Assuming  $\lambda_i^\mu$  is nondegenerate.

then  $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu = \alpha_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\mu\nu} \phi^\mu$ ,  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  some constant  $\in \mathbb{C}$ . (if  $\hat{C}_i$  is a CSC)

Define  $P^\mu = \alpha_{\mu\mu}^{-1} \phi^\mu$ ,  $P^\mu P^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu} P^\mu$ .  $\{P^\mu\}$  are the primitive idempotents of  $R_G$ .  $\rightarrow$  projectors onto 1D space

and  $C_i = \sum_{\mu=1}^r \lambda_i^\mu P^\mu$  is actually a linear combination of projectors onto irreps.

What if there is degeneracy? Find another  $C_i$  that splits the degeneracy.

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSCO) one can uniquely determine the  $P^H$ 's.

• Note that when restricted to a specific irrep.

$$\underline{C_i^H} = \lambda_i^H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{V^H}$$

We can also obtain  $\lambda_i^H$  by noticing:

$$\begin{cases} \chi_\mu(C_i) = \sum_{\rho \in C_i} \chi_\mu(\rho) = m_i \chi([C_i]) \\ C_i \propto \mathbb{1}_{V^H} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_i^{(H)} = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i]) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{V^H} \quad (n_\mu = \dim V^H)$$

i.e.  $\lambda_i^H = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i])$  remaining two unknowns:  $n_\mu, \chi_\mu$

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \chi_\mu(C_i) \overline{\chi_\nu(C_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \lambda_i^H \overline{\lambda_i^H} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \right)^2$$

$$n_\mu = \frac{m_i}{N \langle \lambda_i^H, \lambda_i^H \rangle}$$

$$\chi_\mu = \frac{\lambda_i^H}{N \langle \lambda_i^H, \lambda_i^H \rangle}$$

$$= \langle \lambda_i^H, \lambda_i^H \rangle$$

• How to find a minimal CSCO  $\rightarrow$  陈金金 <sup>for different groups</sup>

We will use a possibly "overcomplete" set:

There are in total  $r$  linearly independent

$\hat{C}_i$ 's. We will try to diagonalize all of them.



$$c_i = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i]) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\nu \neq \mu}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i]) \frac{m_j}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_j]) = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k \frac{m_k}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_k])$$

$$\underline{m_i \chi_\mu([C_i]) m_j \chi_\mu([C_j]) = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_k])}$$

Now introduce a set of auxiliary variables  $\{y^i, i=1, \dots, r\}$

(So we can differentiate between different  $C_i$ 's:  $C_i \rightarrow C_i y^i$ )

$$\Sigma \text{LHS: } \sum_{i=1}^r m_i m_j \chi_\mu([C_i]) \chi_\mu([C_j]) y^i = \sum_{i=1}^r (\psi_i y^i) \psi_j \quad (\psi_i = m_i \chi_\mu([C_i]))$$

$$\Sigma \text{RHS: } \sum_{i=1}^r n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_k]) y^i = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k$$

$$\text{Define } \lambda = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_i y^i \quad (L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k = \lambda \psi_j$$

Solving the eigen problem  $(L - \lambda \mathbb{1}) \psi = 0$

and obtain a set of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_\mu\}$

$$(*) \quad \underline{\lambda_\mu = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r \overbrace{m_i \chi_\mu([C_i])}^{\psi_i} y^i} \quad \mu=1, \dots, \sigma$$

Note if we set  $y^j = \delta_{ij}$ , we recover our earlier  $\lambda_i^\mu$ .

Now recall the orthogonality relation:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \chi_\mu(C_i) \overline{\chi_\nu(C_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{ortho. of rows})$$

$$\mu = \nu \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r m_i |\chi_\mu(C_i)|^2 = |G|$$

$$|G| = |\chi_\mu([C_i])|^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{\chi_\mu([C_i])} \right|^2$$

$$= n_\mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n_\mu = \left[ \frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

known from above (\*)

Implementation in practice:

$$S_3 : E ; (12), (13), (23) ; (123), (132)$$

① class operators:

$$C_1 = E$$

$$C_2 = (12) + (13) + (23)$$

$$(12)(13) = (132)$$

$$C_3 = (123) + (132)$$

$$(12)(123) = (1)(23)$$

② class multiplication table:

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$
$C_1$	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$
$C_2$	$C_2$	$\underline{3C_1 + 3C_3}$	$\underline{2C_2}$
$C_3$	$C_3$	$2C_2$	$\underline{2C_1 + C_3}$

① explain

underlined

② symmetric

( $\because$  abelian)

③  $L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i$      $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$L_1^1 = C_{11}^1 y^1 + C_{21}^1 y^2 + C_{31}^1 y^3 = y^1 + 0 + 0$$

$$L_1^2 = \sum_i C_{i1}^2 y^i = y^2$$

$$L_1^3 = y^3$$

$$L_2^1 = \sum C_{i2}^1 y^i = 3y^2$$

$$L_2^2 = \sum C_{i2}^2 y^i \quad L_2^3 = \sum C_{i2}^3 y^i$$

$$L_3^1 = \sum C_{i3}^1 y^i \quad L_3^2 = \sum C_{i3}^2 y^i$$

$$L_3^3 = \sum C_{i3}^3 y^i$$

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$
$C_1$	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$
$C_2$	$C_2$	$3C_1 + 3C_3$	$2C_2$
$C_3$	$C_3$	$2C_2$	$2C_1 + C_3$

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} y^1 & y^2 & y^3 \\ 3y^2 & y^1 + 2y^3 & 3y^2 \\ 2y^3 & 2y^2 & y^1 + y^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^3$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i \chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} y^i$$

$$n_\mu = \left[ \frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

write in cols.



④  $\chi_a = n_a (1, 1, 1)$

$$n_a = 1$$

$$\chi_b = n_b (1, -1, 1)$$

$$n_b = 1$$

$$\chi_c = n_c (1, 0, -\frac{1}{2})$$

$$n_c = \left[ \frac{6}{1 + 3 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2$$

### ⑤ Character table

	$[1]$	$3[12]$	$2[(123)]$
$1^+$	1	1	1
$1^-$	1	-1	1
2	2	0	-1

Note that in the solution:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues of  $\hat{C}_2$  is non-degenerate.

This defines a set of unique eigenvectors

that diagonalizes all  $\hat{C}_i$ . Which means  $\hat{C}_2$  is a CSCD by itself.

Again, see 附錄 2 附錄 2 for details of finding

a minimal CSCD.